

Typed recursion in the rewriting calculus

Benjamin Wack

joint work with C. Kirchner, L. Liquori, H. Cirstea

ρ Workshop, March 10th 2004, Nancy

The type system

Typing fixpoints

Encoding typed objects and TRS

Logical failure

The Type System I

$$\frac{\mathcal{X} : \sigma \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{X} : \sigma} \text{ (Var)}$$

The Type System I

$$\frac{\mathcal{X} : \sigma \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{X} : \sigma} \text{ (Var)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_2 : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 \mathcal{T}_2 : \tau} \text{ (Appl)}$$

The Type System I

$$\frac{\mathcal{X} : \sigma \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{X} : \sigma} \text{ (Var)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_2 : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 \mathcal{T}_2 : \tau} \text{ (Appl)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 : \sigma \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathcal{T}_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 \rightarrow_{\Delta} \mathcal{T}_2 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau} \text{ (Abs)}$$

The Type System I

$$\frac{\mathcal{X} : \sigma \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{X} : \sigma} \text{ (Var)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_2 : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 \mathcal{T}_2 : \tau} \text{ (Appl)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 : \sigma \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathcal{T}_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 \rightarrow_{\Delta} \mathcal{T}_2 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau} \text{ (Abs)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 : \sigma \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_2 : \sigma \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathcal{T}_3 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash [\mathcal{T}_1 \ll_{\Delta} \mathcal{T}_2] \mathcal{T}_3 : \tau} \text{ (Match)}$$

The Type System II

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 : \sigma \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_2 : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1; \mathcal{T}_2 : \sigma} \text{ (Struct)}$$

The Type System II

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 : \sigma \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_2 : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1; \mathcal{T}_2 : \sigma} \text{ (Struct)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T} : \sigma \quad \alpha \notin FV(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T} : \forall \alpha. \sigma} \text{ (Abs-}\forall) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T} : \sigma \quad \alpha \notin FV(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash \alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{T} : \forall \alpha. \sigma} \text{ (Abs-}\forall)$$

The Type System II

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 : \sigma \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_2 : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1; \mathcal{T}_2 : \sigma} \text{ (Struct)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T} : \sigma \quad \alpha \notin FV(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T} : \forall \alpha. \sigma} \text{ (Abs-}\forall\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T} : \sigma \quad \alpha \notin FV(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash \alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{T} : \forall \alpha. \sigma} \text{ (Abs-}\forall\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T} : \forall \alpha. \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T} : \sigma\{\tau/\alpha\}} \text{ (Appl-}\forall\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T} : \forall \alpha. \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}\tau : \sigma\{\tau/\alpha\}} \text{ (Appl-}\forall\text{)}$$

Typing properties

Well-typed matching:

If $\text{Sol}(\mathcal{P} \ll \mathcal{T}) = \theta$, then $\forall X \in \mathcal{P}, \quad \Gamma \vdash X : \sigma \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash X\theta : \sigma$.

Subject Reduction:

If $\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 : \sigma$ and $\mathcal{T}_1 \mapsto_{\rho\delta} \mathcal{T}_2$, then $\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_2 : \sigma$.

Uniqueness:

If $\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T} : \varphi$ and $\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T} : \psi$, then $\varphi =_{\alpha} \psi$.

Decidability:

$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{(typechecking)} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T} : \varphi? \\ \text{(type inference)} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T} : ? \end{array} \right\}$ are decidable.

The type system

Typing fixpoints

Encoding typed objects and TRS

Logical failure

Normalization failure

$f : (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$ and $\Gamma = X : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$, $\omega \triangleq f \cdot X \rightarrow X \cdot (f \cdot X)$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \cdot (f \cdot \omega) &\equiv (f \cdot X \rightarrow X \cdot (f \cdot X)) \cdot (f \cdot \omega) \\ &\mapsto_{\rho} [f \cdot X \ll f \cdot \omega] \cdot (X \cdot (f \cdot X)) \\ &\mapsto_{\sigma} \omega \cdot (f \cdot \omega) \\ &\mapsto_{\rho} \dots \end{aligned}$$

Normalization failure (cont'd)

$f : (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$ and $\Gamma = X : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$, $\omega \triangleq f \bullet X \rightarrow X \bullet (f \bullet X)$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \Gamma \vdash f : (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha \quad \Gamma \vdash X : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \quad \Gamma \vdash X : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \quad \overline{\Gamma \vdash f \bullet X : \alpha} \quad (b) \\
 \hline
 (b) \quad \Gamma \vdash f \bullet X : \alpha \quad \Gamma \vdash X \bullet (f \bullet X) : \alpha \\
 \hline
 (a) \quad \vdash \omega \equiv f \bullet X \rightarrow X \bullet (f \bullet X) : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \overline{\vdash \omega : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha} \quad (a) \quad \overline{\vdash f : (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha} \quad \overline{\vdash \omega : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha} \quad (a) \\
 \hline
 \vdash \omega : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \quad \vdash f \bullet \omega : \alpha \\
 \hline
 \vdash \omega \bullet (f \bullet \omega) : \alpha
 \end{array}$$

Inductive types with “positive” occurrences

- In CaML, try to type:

```
type t = F of (t -> t);;
```

```
let omega x = match x with (F y) -> y (F y);;
```

Inductive types with “positive” occurrences

- In CaML, try to type:

```
type t = F of (t -> t);;
```

```
let omega x = match x with (F y) -> y (F y);;
```

- In CIC, the constructor $F : (x_1 : A_1) \dots (x_n : A_n).R$ is accepted only if R is *positive* in each A_i :
 1. R is positive in T if R does not occur in T ;
 2. R is positive in $(R\vec{t})$ if R does not occur in \vec{t} ;
 3. R is positive in $(x : A)C$ if R does not occur in A and R is positive in C .

The type system

Typing fixpoints

Encoding typed objects and TRS

Logical failure

Detecting matching failures: the symbol stk

1. The relation $P \not\sqsubseteq A$ detects (some) definitive matching failures:

$$\begin{array}{l} f \quad \not\sqsubseteq \quad g \\ f(\overline{A_n}) \sqsubseteq B \quad \text{if } (B \equiv f(\overline{B_n})) \wedge \exists i, A_i \not\sqsubseteq B_i \\ P \quad \not\sqsubseteq \quad A \quad \text{if } A \equiv ([Q \ll_{\Delta} A_1].A_2 \wedge Q \not\sqsubseteq A_1 \vee P \not\sqsubseteq A_2) \end{array}$$

Detecting matching failures: the symbol stk

1. The relation $P \not\sqsubseteq A$ detects (some) definitive matching failures:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 f \quad \not\sqsubseteq \quad g \\
 f(\overline{A_n}) \quad \sqsubseteq \quad B \quad \text{if } (B \equiv f(\overline{B_n})) \wedge \exists i, A_i \not\sqsubseteq B_i \\
 P \quad \not\sqsubseteq \quad A \quad \text{if } A \equiv ([Q \ll_{\Delta} A_1].A_2 \wedge Q \not\sqsubseteq A_1 \vee P \not\sqsubseteq A_2)
 \end{array}$$

2. The relation \mapsto_{stk} treats matching failures uniformly:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 [P \ll_{\Delta} A].B \quad \mapsto_{\text{stk}} \quad \text{stk} \quad \text{if } P \not\sqsubseteq A \\
 \text{stk}; A \quad \mapsto_{\text{stk}} \quad A \\
 A; \text{stk} \quad \mapsto_{\text{stk}} \quad A \\
 \text{stk} \bullet A \quad \mapsto_{\text{stk}} \quad \text{stk}
 \end{array}$$

Rho and objects

- Object = record with an explicit account of self, *i.e.*

$$[m_i = \varsigma(X_i)t_i]^{i \in I} \triangleq (m_i(X_i) \rightarrow t_i)^{i \in I}$$

Rho and objects

- **Object** = **record** with an explicit account of **self**, *i.e.*

$$[m_i = \varsigma(X_i)t_i]^{i \in I} \triangleq (m_i(X_i) \rightarrow t_i)^{i \in I}$$

- **Self-application** = the application of an object to the object itself, *i.e.*

$$t_1.t_2 \triangleq t_1 \bullet t_2(t_1)$$

Rho and objects

- **Object** = **record** with an explicit account of **self**, *i.e.*

$$[m_i = \varsigma(X_i)t_i]^{i \in I} \triangleq (m_i(X_i) \rightarrow t_i)^{i \in I}$$

- **Self-application** = the application of an object to the object itself, *i.e.*

$$t_1.t_2 \triangleq t_1 \bullet t_2(t_1)$$

- **Ex:** $t \triangleq a(S) \rightarrow b$. Then: $t.a \triangleq t \bullet a(t) \xrightarrow{\rho} [a(S) \ll a(t)]b \xrightarrow{\sigma} b$

Typed objects

- An object has type:

$$\frac{S : lab \rightarrow \phi \vdash meth : (lab \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow lab}{\vdash meth(S) : lab} (Appl) \quad \vdash \mathcal{T}_{meth} : \phi (Abst)$$
$$\frac{\vdash meth(S) : lab \quad \vdash \mathcal{T}_{meth} : \phi}{\vdash meth(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{meth} : lab \rightarrow \phi}$$

Typed objects

- An object has type:

$$\frac{S : lab \rightarrow \phi \vdash meth : (lab \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow lab}{\vdash meth(S) : lab} \text{ (Appl)} \quad \frac{\vdash meth(S) : lab \quad \vdash \mathcal{T}_{meth} : \phi}{\vdash meth(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{meth} : lab \rightarrow \phi} \text{ (Abst)}$$

- $obj.meth \triangleq obj \bullet meth(obj)$ can be typed as follows:

$$\frac{\vdash obj : lab \rightarrow \phi \quad \frac{\vdash meth : (lab \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow lab \quad \vdash obj : lab \rightarrow \phi}{\vdash meth(obj) : lab}}{\vdash obj \bullet meth(obj) : \phi}$$

Encoding rewriting in the ρ -calculus

1. The following operator selects the first applicable rule of a set:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{first}(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) &\triangleq X \rightarrow ((\text{stk} \rightarrow A_n \bullet X; I) \bullet (\dots \bullet (\text{stk} \rightarrow A_2 \bullet X; I) \bullet (A_1 X))) \\ \text{first}(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) \bullet B &\mapsto_{\rho\delta} A_{j+1} \bullet B \text{ if } \forall i \leq j, A_i \bullet B \mapsto_{\rho\delta} \text{stk} \text{ and } A_{j+1} \bullet B \not\mapsto_{\rho\delta} \text{stk} \end{aligned}$$

Encoding rewriting in the ρ -calculus

- The following operator selects the first applicable rule of a set:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{first}(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) &\triangleq X \rightarrow ((\text{stk} \rightarrow A_n \bullet X; I) \bullet (\dots \bullet (\text{stk} \rightarrow A_2 \bullet X; I) \bullet (A_1 X))) \\ \text{first}(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) \bullet B &\mapsto_{\rho\delta} A_{j+1} \bullet B \text{ if } \forall i \leq j, A_i \bullet B \mapsto_{\rho\delta} \text{stk} \text{ and } A_{j+1} \bullet B \not\mapsto_{\rho\delta} \text{stk} \end{aligned}$$

- The Term Rewrite System $\mathcal{R} = \{t_i \mapsto s_i\}$ with signature $\{a_j\}$ is encoded by:

$$\mathcal{R} \rightsquigarrow \begin{array}{l} (\text{rec} \bullet S) \rightarrow \text{first} \left(\begin{array}{l} t_1 \rightarrow S \bullet (\text{rec} \bullet S) \bullet s_1, \\ \dots, \\ a_1 \bullet \overline{X} \rightarrow S \bullet (\text{Rec} \bullet S) \bullet (a_1 \bullet \overline{S(\text{rec} \bullet S) \bullet X}), \\ \dots, \end{array} \right), \\ (\text{Rec} \bullet S) \rightarrow \text{first} \left(\begin{array}{l} t_1 \rightarrow S \bullet (\text{rec} \bullet S) \bullet s_1, \\ \dots, \\ I \end{array} \right) \end{array}$$

Example

- Addition over Peano integers:

$$plus \triangleq \left[\begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow add(0, y) \rightarrow y; \\ S \rightarrow add(suc(x), y) \rightarrow suc((S \cdot S) \cdot add(x, y)) \end{array} \right]$$

Example

- Addition over Peano integers:

$$plus \triangleq \left[\begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow add(0, y) \rightarrow y; \\ S \rightarrow add(suc(x), y) \rightarrow suc((S \cdot S) \cdot add(x, y)) \end{array} \right]$$

$$(plus \cdot plus) \cdot add(N, M)$$

$$\mapsto_{\rho\delta} [0 \ll N].M; [0 \ll N - 1].(M + 1) \cdots \underline{[0 \ll 0].(M + N)}; [suc \cdot x \ll 0] \dots$$

$$\mapsto_{\text{stk}} M + N$$

Example

- Addition over Peano integers:

$$plus \triangleq \left[\begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow add(0, y) \rightarrow y; \\ S \rightarrow add(suc(x), y) \rightarrow suc((S \cdot S) \cdot add(x, y)) \end{array} \right]$$

$$(plus \cdot plus) \cdot add(N, M)$$

$$\mapsto_{\rho\delta} [0 \ll N].M; [0 \ll N - 1].(M + 1) \cdots \underline{[0 \ll 0].(M + N)}; [suc \cdot x \ll 0] \dots$$

$$\mapsto_{stk} M + N$$

- Fill in the blanks with your favorite rewrite system...

$$func \triangleq \left[\begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow \quad ; \\ S \rightarrow \quad (S \cdot S) \cdot \end{array} \right]$$

Example

- Addition over Peano integers:

$$plus \triangleq \left[\begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow add(0, y) \rightarrow y; \\ S \rightarrow add(suc(x), y) \rightarrow suc((S \cdot S) \cdot add(x, y)) \end{array} \right]$$

$$(plus \cdot plus) \cdot add(N, M)$$

$$\mapsto_{\rho\delta} [0 \ll N].M; [0 \ll N - 1].(M + 1) \cdots \underline{[0 \ll 0].(M + N)}; [suc \cdot x \ll 0] \dots$$

$$\mapsto_{stk} M + N$$

- Fill in the blanks with your favorite rewrite system...

$$func \triangleq \left[\begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow len([]) \rightarrow 0 ; \\ S \rightarrow len(Cons(x, l)) \rightarrow suc((S \cdot S) \cdot len(l)) \end{array} \right]$$

Example

- Addition over Peano integers:

$$plus \triangleq \left[\begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow add(0, y) \rightarrow y; \\ S \rightarrow add(suc(x), y) \rightarrow suc((S \cdot S) \cdot add(x, y)) \end{array} \right]$$

$$(plus \cdot plus) \cdot add(N, M)$$

$$\mapsto_{\rho\delta} [0 \ll N].M; [0 \ll N - 1].(M + 1) \cdots \underline{[0 \ll 0].(M + N)}; [suc \cdot x \ll 0] \dots$$

$$\mapsto_{stk} M + N$$

- Fill in the blanks with your favorite rewrite system... provided it is convergent and ground reducible if you want completeness.

$$func \triangleq \left[\begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow len([]) \rightarrow 0 ; \\ S \rightarrow len(Cons(x, l)) \rightarrow suc((S \cdot S) \cdot len(l)) \end{array} \right]$$

The type system
Typing fixpoints
Encoding typed objects and TRS
Logical failure

Logical inconsistency

- As is, the Curry-Howard isomorphism is not valid:

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 : \sigma \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathcal{T}_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 \rightarrow_{\Delta} \mathcal{T}_2 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau} \text{ (Abs)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \sigma \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \sigma \rightarrow \tau} \text{ (}\rightarrow I\text{)}$$

Logical inconsistency

- As is, the Curry-Howard isomorphism is not valid:

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 : \sigma \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathcal{T}_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 \rightarrow_{\Delta} \mathcal{T}_2 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau} \text{ (Abs)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \sigma \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \sigma \rightarrow \tau} \text{ (}\rightarrow I\text{)}$$

$$\frac{}{\vdash (h^{\perp \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha}(X^{\perp}) \rightarrow X^{\perp}) : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \perp}$$

- Thus, for instance, $\vdash (h^{\perp \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha}(X^{\perp}) \rightarrow X^{\perp}) \bullet (Y^{\alpha} \rightarrow Y^{\alpha}) : \perp$

Logical inconsistency

- As is, the Curry-Howard isomorphism is not valid:

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 : \sigma \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathcal{T}_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 \rightarrow_{\Delta} \mathcal{T}_2 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau} \text{ (Abs)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \sigma \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \sigma \rightarrow \tau} \text{ (}\rightarrow I\text{)}$$

- Thus, for instance, $\frac{\vdash (h^{\perp \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha}(X^{\perp}) \rightarrow X^{\perp}) : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \perp}{\vdash (h^{\perp \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha}(X^{\perp}) \rightarrow X^{\perp}) \bullet (Y^{\alpha} \rightarrow Y^{\alpha}) : \perp}$
- How to fix it ?

$$\frac{\Gamma, X_i : \varphi_i \vdash B : \psi}{\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow B : (\bigwedge \varphi_i) \rightarrow \psi} \text{ (Abs)} \quad , \quad FV(A) = \{X_i^{\varphi_i}\}$$

Dependent type discipline

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 : \sigma \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathcal{T}_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 : \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_2 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau} \text{ (Abs)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_2 : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 \mathcal{T}_2 : \tau} \text{ (Appl)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathcal{T}_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\mathcal{T}_1 : \Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_2 : (\mathcal{T}_1 : \Delta) \rightarrow \tau} \text{ (Abs)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 : (\mathcal{T}_{11} : \Delta) \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_2 : \sigma \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathcal{T}_{11} : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{T}_1 \mathcal{T}_2 : [\mathcal{T}_{11} \ll_{\Delta} \mathcal{T}_2].\tau} \text{ (Appl)}$$